

Note on the Deformed Boson Scheme in Time-Dependent Variational Method

Atsushi KURIYAMA, Constança PROVIDÊNCIA*,
João da PROVIDÊNCIA*, Yasuhiko TSUE** and Masatoshi YAMAMURA

Faculty of Engineering, Kansai University, Suita 564-8680, Japan

**Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra, P-3000 Coimbra,
Portugal*

***Physics Division, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Kochi 780-8520,
Japan*

Abstract

The Holstein-Primakoff representation for the $su(2)$ -algebra is derived in the deformed boson scheme. The following two points are discussed : (i) connection between a simple Hamiltonian and the Hamiltonian obeying the $su(2)$ -algebra such as Lipkin model and (ii) derivation of the Hamiltonian for describing the damped and amplified motion for the $su(2)$ -boson model.

The q -algebra has been receiving much attention in physics. If the generators of the algebra are expressed in terms of boson operator, for example, such as the Schwinger boson representation for the $su(2)$ -algebra,¹⁾ the q -deformed algebra is constructed in the framework of the deformed boson scheme including conventional q -deformation, which is in close contact with generalized boson coherent state for the time-dependent variational method. In this scheme, the function $[x]_q$ plays a central role. Concerning the choice of $[x]_q$, Pen-son and Solomon proposed an interesting viewpoint.²⁾ By generalizing their viewpoint, the present authors, recently, proposed a possible method for the deformed boson scheme in the time-dependent variational method (Part (I))³⁾ and, further, they applied this method to some algebras (Parts (II) and (III)).⁴⁾ Hereafter, Part (I) is referred to as (I). However, in (I), a certain case is excluded. In this note, this case is investigated.

First, we recapitulate a possible form of the deformed boson scheme presented in (I). The basic point of (I) is to define the deformed boson annihilation operator $\hat{\gamma}$, the eigenstate of which is the following generalized coherent state for boson operator $(\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*)$:

$$|c\rangle = (\sqrt{\Gamma})^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n) (\sqrt{n!})^{-1} \gamma^n |n\rangle , \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |n\rangle &= (\sqrt{n!})^{-1} (\hat{c}^*)^n |0\rangle , \\ [\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*] &= 1 , \quad \hat{c}|0\rangle = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here, Γ and γ denote the normalization factor and a complex parameter, respectively. For the function $f(n)$, in (I), we treated the case

$$f(n) = 1 \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1 , \quad f(n) > 0 \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3, \dots . \quad (3)$$

The state (1) can be rewritten in the following form :

$$|c\rangle = (\sqrt{\Gamma})^{-1} \exp(\gamma \hat{c}^* \tilde{f}(\hat{N})) |0\rangle , \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{N} = \hat{c}^* \hat{c} . \quad (5)$$

Here, $\tilde{f}(n) = f(n+1)f(n)^{-1}$. The condition (3) is equivalent to

$$\tilde{f}(n) = 1 \quad \text{for } n = 0 , \quad \tilde{f}(n) > 0 \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots . \quad (6)$$

We introduce the operators $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\gamma}^*$ in the form

$$\hat{\gamma} = \tilde{f}(\hat{N})^{-1} \hat{c} , \quad \hat{\gamma}^* = \hat{c}^* \tilde{f}(\hat{N})^{-1} . \quad (7)$$

It is easily shown that the operator $\hat{\gamma}$ satisfies the relation

$$\hat{\gamma}|c\rangle = \gamma|c\rangle . \quad (8)$$

The above means that $(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*)$ can be regarded as the deformed boson operator of $(\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*)$. The commutation relation $[\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*]$, which is deformed from $[\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*] = 1$, is rewritten as

$$[\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*] = [\hat{N} + 1]_q - [\hat{N}]_q, \quad [\hat{N}]_q = \hat{N} \tilde{f}(\hat{N} - 1)^{-2}. \quad (9)$$

The above is an outline of (I).

In this note, we give a possible form of $(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*)$ obeying the following condition :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(n) &= 1 \quad \text{for } n = 0, \quad \tilde{f}(n) > 0 \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, n_0 - 1, \\ \tilde{f}(n) &\longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{for } n = n_0, \\ \tilde{f}(n) &: \text{arbitrary} \quad \text{for } n = n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Later, the example will be shown. For the condition (10), we define the state

$$|c\rangle = (\sqrt{\Gamma})^{-1} \exp(\gamma \hat{c}^* \tilde{f}(\hat{N}) \hat{P}_{n_0-1}) |0\rangle. \quad (11)$$

Here, $\hat{P}_{n_0-1}$ denotes a projection operator obtained by replacing n with $n_0 - 1$ for

$$\hat{P}_n = \sum_{k=0}^n |k\rangle \langle k| = 1 - \left[\hat{c}^* \left(\sqrt{\hat{N} + 1} \right)^{-1} \right]^{n+1} \left[\left(\sqrt{\hat{N} + 1} \right)^{-1} \hat{c} \right]^{n+1}. \quad (12)$$

The state (11) is rewritten as

$$|c\rangle = (\sqrt{\Gamma})^{-1} \left(|0\rangle + \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} (\tilde{f}(0)\tilde{f}(1)\cdots\tilde{f}(n-1)) (\sqrt{n!})^{-1} \gamma^n |n\rangle \right), \quad (13a)$$

$$\Gamma = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n_0} (|\gamma|^2)^n (\tilde{f}(0)\tilde{f}(1)\cdots\tilde{f}(n-1))^2 (n!)^{-1}. \quad (13b)$$

For the state (11), we define $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\gamma}^*$ in the form

$$\hat{\gamma} = \hat{P}_{n_0} \tilde{f}(\hat{N})^{-1} \hat{c}, \quad \hat{\gamma}^* = \hat{c}^* \tilde{f}(\hat{N})^{-1} \hat{P}_{n_0}. \quad (14)$$

Here, $\hat{P}_{n_0}$ is obtained by replacing n with n_0 for $\hat{P}_n$ shown in the relation (12). The operation of $\hat{\gamma}$ on the state (11) gives us

$$\hat{\gamma}|c\rangle = \gamma \hat{P}_{n_0-1}|c\rangle. \quad (15)$$

Further, we have

$$\hat{\gamma}|n_0 + 1\rangle = 0, \quad \hat{\gamma}^*|n_0\rangle = 0. \quad (16)$$

Therefore, the subspace spanned by the set $\{|n\rangle; n = 0, 1, \dots, n_0\}$ is disconnected with the subspace $\{|n\rangle; n = n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \dots\}$ through $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\gamma}^*$. The relation (15) shows that $|c\rangle$ is not an exact eigenstate for $\hat{\gamma}$. However, at the limit $n_0 \rightarrow \infty$, the relation (15)

reduces to the relation (8). In this sense, we regard $(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*)$ given in the relation (15) as a possible form of the deformed boson obeying the condition (10). With use of the relation $[\hat{P}_{n_0}, \tilde{f}(\hat{N})^{-1}\hat{c}] = [\hat{P}_{n_0}, \hat{c}^*\tilde{f}(\hat{N})^{-1}] = 0$, we have

$$[\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*] = \hat{P}_{n_0} \left([\hat{N} + 1]_q - [\hat{N}]_q \right) \hat{P}_{n_0} , \quad [\hat{N}]_q = \hat{N} \tilde{f}(\hat{N} - 1)^{-2} . \quad (17)$$

The above is a possible form of the deformed boson scheme obeying the condition (10).

Now, let us present a concrete example :

$$\tilde{f}(n) = \left(\sqrt{1 - n/n_0} \right)^{-1} . \quad (18)$$

Certainly, the form (18) satisfies the condition (10). Then, $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\gamma}^*$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma} &= \hat{P}_{n_0} \sqrt{1 - \hat{N}/n_0} \hat{c} \hat{P}_{n_0} = (\sqrt{n_0})^{-1} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{S}}_- , \\ \hat{\gamma}^* &= \hat{P}_{n_0} \hat{c}^* \sqrt{1 - \hat{N}/n_0} \hat{P}_{n_0} = (\sqrt{n_0})^{-1} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{S}}_+ . \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Here, $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\pm}$ are defined in the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\pm} = \hat{P}_{n_0} \hat{S}_{\pm} \hat{P}_{n_0} , \quad (20a)$$

$$\hat{S}_- = \sqrt{n_0 - \hat{N}} \hat{c} , \quad \hat{S}_+ = \hat{c}^* \sqrt{n_0 - \hat{N}} . \quad (20b)$$

Further, we have

$$[\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*] = \hat{P}_{n_0} (1 - 2\hat{N}/n_0) \hat{P}_{n_0} = -2n_0^{-1} \hat{\mathcal{S}}_0 , \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}}_0 = \hat{P}_{n_0} \hat{S}_0 \hat{P}_{n_0} , \quad (22a)$$

$$\hat{S}_0 = \hat{N} - n_0/2 . \quad (22b)$$

We can see that the form $\hat{S}_{\pm,0}$ given in the relations (20b) and (22b) are identical to the Holstein-Primakoff representation of the $su(2)$ -spin, the magnitude of which is equal to $n_0/2$.⁵⁾ Importance of the operator $\hat{P}_{n_0}$ in the form $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\pm,0} = \hat{P}_{n_0} \hat{S}_{\pm,0} \hat{P}_{n_0}$ was demonstrated by Marshalek⁶⁾ and reinvestigated by the present authors.⁷⁾

In relation to the above investigation, the $su(1,1)$ -spin in the Holstein-Primakoff representation is also derived. In this case, we adopt the following form as $\tilde{f}(n)$:

$$\tilde{f}(n) = \left(\sqrt{1 + n/n_0} \right)^{-1} . \quad (23)$$

Here, it should be noted that n_0 is positive, not necessary positive integer and $\tilde{f}(n)$ obeys the condition (6). Then, applying the form (23) to $(\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*)$ defined in the relation (7), we

have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\gamma} &= (\sqrt{n_0})^{-1} \hat{T}_- , & \hat{T}_- &= \sqrt{n_0 + \hat{N}} \hat{c} , \\ \hat{\gamma}^* &= (\sqrt{n_0})^{-1} \hat{T}_+ , & \hat{T}_+ &= \hat{c}^* \sqrt{n_0 + \hat{N}} , \\ [\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*] &= 2n_0^{-1} \hat{T}_0 , & \hat{T}_0 &= \hat{N} + n_0/2 .\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

The form of $\hat{T}_{\pm,0}$ is identical to the Holstein-Primakoff representation of the $su(1,1)$ -spin, the magnitude of which is equal to $n_0/2$.

Let us apply the above deformed boson scheme to the following Hamiltonian :

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_1 , \tag{25a}$$

$$\hat{H}_0 = 2\epsilon \hat{c}^* \hat{c} = 2\epsilon \hat{c}^* \cdot 2(1 + [\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*])^{-1} \cdot \hat{c} , \tag{25b}$$

$$\hat{H}_1 = -G_0 \hat{c}^* \hat{c} - (G_1/2)(\hat{c}^{*2} + \hat{c}^2) . \tag{25c}$$

The deformed Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ can be given in the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_1 , \tag{26a}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 = 2\epsilon \hat{\gamma}^* \cdot 2(1 + [\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*])^{-1} \cdot \hat{\gamma} , \tag{26b}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1 = -G_0 \hat{\gamma}^* \hat{\gamma} - (G_1/2)(\hat{\gamma}^{*2} + \hat{\gamma}^2) . \tag{26c}$$

For the function (18), $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1$ can be expressed in the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 = \hat{P}_{n_0} \left[2\epsilon(n_0/2 + \hat{S}_0) \right] \hat{P}_{n_0} , \tag{27a}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_1 = \hat{P}_{n_0} \left[-(G_0/n_0) \hat{S}_+ \hat{S}_- - (G_1/2n_0)(\hat{S}_+^2 + \hat{S}_-^2) \right] \hat{P}_{n_0} . \tag{27b}$$

The above form is identical to the Hamiltonian obeying the $su(2)$ -algebra, for example, such as Lipkin model.⁸⁾ Of course, for $\hat{S}_{\pm,0}$, the forms (20b) and (22b) should be used.^{9), 10)} It may be interesting to see that the deformed boson scheme for simple boson Hamiltonian gives us the Hamiltonian obeying the $su(2)$ -algebra. However, it may be noted that the derivation is in a trick. Since $[\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*] = 1$, the Hamiltonian (25) can be expressed as a function of $[\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*]$ in infinite ways. Of course, they are all equivalent to one another. However, if $[\hat{c}, \hat{c}^*]$ is replaced with $[\hat{\gamma}, \hat{\gamma}^*]$, they are not equivalent to one another. Therefore, the above is nothing but one of the examples for the deformation. It is also possible to derive the other various forms, if we choose $\tilde{f}(n)$ in forms different from the form (18).

Finally, we discuss a many-boson system consisting of two kinds of boson operators ($\hat{b}, \hat{b}^*$) and ($\hat{a}, \hat{a}^*$). The typical example for such systems may be given by the following Hamiltonian :

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_b - \hat{H}_a - \hat{H}_i , \tag{28a}$$

$$\hat{H}_b = \omega \hat{b}^* \hat{b} , \quad \hat{H}_a = \omega \hat{a}^* \hat{a} , \quad (28b)$$

$$\hat{H}_i = \gamma \cdot i(\hat{b}^* \hat{a}^* - \hat{a} \hat{b}) . \quad (28c)$$

Here, ω and γ denote positive parameters. The above Hamiltonian was investigated by Vitiello et al.¹¹⁾ and slightly later by the present authors.¹²⁾ It enables us to give a possible description of the damped- and the amplified-oscillation in the framework of the conservative form. We generalize the Hamiltonian (28b) to the form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_b &= 2\epsilon \hat{b}^* \hat{b} - G_0 \hat{b}^* \hat{b} - (G_1/2)(\hat{b}^{*2} + \hat{b}^2) , \\ \hat{H}_a &= 2\epsilon \hat{a}^* \hat{a} - G_0 \hat{a}^* \hat{a} - (G_1/2)(\hat{a}^{*2} + \hat{a}^2) . \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

In the same idea as that used for the Hamiltonian (25), we have the following Hamiltonian :

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_b - \hat{\mathcal{H}}_a - \hat{\mathcal{H}}_i , \quad (30a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_b &= \hat{P}_{n_0}^{(b)} \left[2\epsilon(n_0/2 + \hat{S}_0^{(b)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (G_0/n_0) \hat{S}_+^{(b)} \hat{S}_-^{(b)} - (G_1/2n_0)(\hat{S}_+^{(b)2} + \hat{S}_-^{(b)2}) \right] \hat{P}_{n_0}^{(b)} , \\ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_a &= \hat{P}_{n_0}^{(a)} \left[2\epsilon(n_0/2 + \hat{S}_0^{(a)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (G_0/n_0) \hat{S}_+^{(a)} \hat{S}_-^{(a)} - (G_1/2n_0)(\hat{S}_+^{(a)2} + \hat{S}_-^{(a)2}) \right] \hat{P}_{n_0}^{(a)} , \end{aligned} \quad (30b)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_i = \hat{P}_{n_0}^{(b)} \hat{P}_{n_0}^{(a)} \left[(\gamma/n_0) \cdot i \left(\hat{S}_+^{(b)} \hat{S}_+^{(a)} - \hat{S}_-^{(a)} \hat{S}_-^{(b)} \right) \right] \hat{P}_{n_0}^{(a)} \hat{P}_{n_0}^{(b)} . \quad (30c)$$

Here, the quantities with the indices b and a are related to $\hat{b}$ - and $\hat{a}$ -bosons, respectively. The Hamiltonian (30) enables us to describe the damped and the amplified motion in the $su(2)$ -spin system, which was already investigated by the present authors.¹³⁾ Especially, the form of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_i$ was already introduced in a form different from the present case (30c), but, essentially the same.

Thus, we could present the case which was excluded in (I). The essential difference from (I) is in the existence of the projection operator $\hat{P}_{n_0}$.

References

- [1] J. Schwinger, in *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*, ed. L. Biedenharn and H. Van Dam (Academic Press, New York, 1955), p.229.
- [2] K. A. Penson and A. I. Solomon, J. Math. Phys. **40** (1999), 2354.
- [3] A. Kuriyama, C. Providência, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **106** (2001), 751.

- [4] A. Kuriyama, C. Providência, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **106** (2001), 765.
A. Kuriyama, C. Providência, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Submitted to Prog. Theor. Phys.
- [5] T. Holstein and H. Primakoff, Phys. Rev. **58** (1940), 1098.
S. C. Pang, A. Klein and R. M. Dreizler, Ann. of Phys. **49** (1968), 477.
- [6] E. R. Marshalek, Nucl. Phys. **A161** (1971), 401.
- [7] A. Kuriyama, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **95** (1996), 79.
- [8] H. J. Lipkin, N. Meshkov and A. Glick, Nucl. Phys. **62** (1965), 188.
- [9] M. Yamamura and A. Kuriyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. No. 93 (1987), 1.
- [10] A. Klein and E. R. Marshalek, Rev. Mod. Phys. **63** (1991), 375.
- [11] E. Celeghini, M. Rasetti, M. Tarlini and G. Vitiello, Mod. Phys. Lett. **B3** (1989), 1213.
E. Celeghini, M. Rasetti and G. Vitiello, Ann. of Phys. **215** (1992), 156.
- [12] Y. Tsue, A. Kuriyama and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **91** (1994), 49 ; 469.
A. Kuriyama, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. No. 141 (2001), 113.
- [13] Y. Tsue, A. Kuriyama and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **93** (1995), 541 ; 1037.